

COURT OF COMMON COUNCIL



NOTICE & AGENDA PACKAGE

REVISED

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 2018

7:00 p.m.



**CITY OF HARTFORD
550 MAIN STREET
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06103**

**OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT
REVISED
PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 2018
7:00 p.m.**

COUNCILPERSONS THAMES, WINCH, BERMÚDEZ, CLARKE II, AND ROSADO WILL REPRESENT THE COUNCIL AT A PUBLIC HEARING TO BE HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS OF THE MUNICIPAL BUILDING AT 7:00 P.M., MONDAY NOVEMBER 19, 2018.

- 1. ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2, ARTICLE II OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF HARTFORD BE AMENDED, ADDING SECTION 2-48 AND SECTION 2-49**

Referred to the Quality of Life & Public Safety Committee

- 2. ORDINANCE AMENDING DIVISION 9D, CHAPTER 2, ARTICLE 5, SECTION 2-293(B) OF THE HARTFORD FILM, VIDEO, DIGITAL, MEDIA AND SOCIAL MEDIA ORDINANCE OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE**

Referred to the Legislative Affairs Committee

- 3. ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2, ARTICLE XXIII, SECTION 2-938 DRONES OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE.**

Referred to the Quality of Life & Public Safety Committee

- 4. RESOLUTION REQUESTING TO SUPERIMPOSE "J. SURGESS WAY" AT THE CORNER OF JUDSON AND BARBOUR STREET, TO SUPERIMPOSE "MARIE KIRKLEY-BEY WAY", AT THE CORNER OF GARDEN AND ASHLEY STREET, TO SUPERIMPOSE "PRENZINA HOLLOWAY WAY" AT THE CORNER OF SIGOURNEY STREET AND HOMESTEAD AVENUE, TO SUPERIMPOSE "NORMAN LEAR STREET" AT THE CORNER OF WOODSTOCK STREET AND BLUE HILLS AVENUE**

Referred to the Public Building Dedication Committee

- 5. ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 26, ARTICLE II, SECTION 26-31 "UNLAWFUL CONDUCT GENERALLY" OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE.**

Referred to the Public Works, Parks and Environment Committee

- 6. RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE APPROVAL OF BOND ISSUE FOR PUBLIC FINANCE AUTHORITY FOR ZION PARK APARTMENTS SOLELY FOR TAX PURPOSES.**

Referred to the Operations, Management, Budget and Government Accountability Committee

Attest:

**John V. Bazzano,
City Clerk.**

For more information on committee meeting dates please contact the following:

- A regular **Public Works, Parks, Recreation and Environment Committee** meeting will be held on the first Wednesday of each month at 5:30 P.M. in the Council Chambers.

Agnes Torres (860) 757-9568
agnes.torres@hartford.gov

- A regular **Quality of Life and Public Safety Committee** meeting will be held on the third Tuesday of each month at 5:30 P.M. in the Council Chambers.

Kevin L. Murray 860-757-9563
Kevin.murray@hartford.gov

Haylee Green-Ortiz 860-757-9567
Haylee.Green-Ortiz@hartford.gov

- A regular **Legislative Affairs Committee** meeting will be held on the second Wednesday of each month at 5:30 P.M. in the Council Chambers.

Melanie Moure 860-757-9563
Melanie.Moure@hartford.gov

- A regular meeting of the **Operations, Management, Budget and Government Accountability Committee** will be held on the third Monday of each month at 5:30 P.M. in the Council Chambers.

Carolynn Harris (860) 757-9570
Carolynn.Harris@hartford.gov

- **Public Building Dedication Committee**

Mayor Bronin, Chairperson

Introduced
by:

HEADING
AND
PURPOSE

Minority Leader Wildaliz Bermudez
Councilman Thomas J. Clarke II
Councilwoman Claudine Fox
Councilwoman rJo Winch
Councilman Larry Deutsch

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2 OF THE HARTFORD MUNICIPAL
CODE.**

COURT OF COMMON COUNCIL,
CITY OF HARTFORD

November 13, 2018

Be It Hereby Ordained by the Court of Common Council of the City of Hartford:

That Chapter 2, Article II of the Municipal Code of the City of Hartford be amended, adding Section 2-48 and Section 2-49, as follows:

Section 2-48. Establishing the power of the City Council to protect city residents.

- (a) The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this section, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section:

Discriminatory shall mean (1) disparate treatment of any individual(s) because of any real or perceived traits, characteristics, or status as to which discrimination is prohibited under the Constitution or any law of the United States, the constitution or any law of the State of Connecticut, or the City Charter or any law of the City of Hartford, or because of their association with such individual(s), or (2) disparate impact on any such individual(s) having traits, characteristics, or status described in subsection (1).

Disparate impact shall mean an adverse effect that is disproportionately experienced by individual(s) having any traits, characteristics, or status as to which discrimination is prohibited under the Constitution or any law of the United States, the constitution or any law of the State of Connecticut, or the City Charter or any law of the City of Hartford than by similarly situated individual(s) not having such traits, characteristics, or status.

Municipal entity shall mean any municipal government, agency, department, bureau, division, or unit of this city.

Surveillance data shall mean any electronic data collected, captured, recorded, retained, processed, intercepted, analyzed, or shared by surveillance technology.

Surveillance technology shall mean any electronic surveillance device, hardware, or software that is capable of collecting, capturing, recording, retaining, processing, intercepting, analyzing, monitoring, or sharing audio, visual, digital, location, thermal,

biometric, or similar information or communications specifically associated with, or capable of being associated with, any specific individual or group; or any system, device, or vehicle that is equipped with an electronic surveillance device, hardware, or software.

1. Surveillance technology includes, but is not limited to: (a) unmanned aerial vehicles; (b) international mobile subscriber identity (IMSI) catchers and other cell site simulators; (c) automatic license plate readers; (d) electronic toll readers; (e) closed-circuit television cameras; (f) biometric surveillance technology, including facial, voice, iris, and gait-recognition software and databases; (g) mobile DNA capture technology; (h) gunshot detection and location hardware and services; (i) x-ray vans; (j) video and audio monitoring and/or recording technology, such as surveillance cameras, wide-angle cameras, and wearable body cameras; (k) surveillance enabled or capable lightbulbs or light fixtures; (l) tools, including software and hardware, used to gain unauthorized access to a computer, computer service, or computer network; (m) social media monitoring software; (n) through-the-wall radar or similar imaging technology; (o) passive scanners of radio networks; (p) long-range Bluetooth and other wireless-scanning devices; (q) radio-frequency I.D. (RFID) scanners; and (r) software designed to integrate or analyze data from surveillance technology, including surveillance target tracking and predictive policing software. The enumeration of surveillance technology examples in this subsection shall not be interpreted as an endorsement or approval of their use by any municipal entity.
2. Surveillance technology does not include the following devices or hardware, unless they have been equipped with, or are modified to become or include, a surveillance technology as defined in subsection (a): (a) routine office hardware, such as televisions, computers, and printers, that is in widespread public use and will not be used for any surveillance or surveillance-related functions; (b) Parking Ticket Devices (PTDs); (c) manually-operated, non-wearable, handheld digital cameras, audio recorders, and video recorders that are not designed to be used surreptitiously and whose functionality is limited to manually capturing and manually downloading video and/or audio recordings; (d) surveillance devices that cannot record or transmit audio or video or be remotely accessed, such as image stabilizing binoculars or night vision goggles; (e) municipal agency databases that do not and will not contain any data or other information collected, captured, recorded, retained, processed, intercepted, or analyzed by surveillance technology; and (f) manually-operated technological devices that are used primarily for internal municipal entity communications and are not designed to surreptitiously collect surveillance data, such as radios and email systems.

Unmanned aerial vehicle means any contrivance used or designed for navigation of or flight in air that is power-driven and operated without the possibility of direct human intervention from within or on the contrivance.

Viewpoint-based shall mean targeted at any community or group or its members because of their exercise of rights protected under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

(b) A municipal entity must obtain City Council approval, subsequent to a mandatory, properly-noticed, germane, public City Council hearing at which the public is afforded a fair and adequate opportunity to provide written and oral testimony, prior to engaging in any of the following:

1. Seeking funds for new surveillance technology, including but not limited to applying for a grant, or soliciting or accepting state or federal funds or in-kind or other donations;
2. Acquiring or borrowing new surveillance technology, whether or not that acquisition is made through the exchange of monies or other consideration;
3. Using new or existing surveillance technology for a purpose or in a manner not previously approved by the City Council in accordance with this ordinance, including the sharing of surveillance data therefrom; or
4. Soliciting proposals for or entering into an agreement with any other person or entity to acquire, share or otherwise use surveillance technology or surveillance data.

If City Council does not hold a public hearing regarding a municipal entity's request to engage in the aforementioned actions within one hundred and twenty (120) days of the municipal entity commencing the process of seeking City Council approval, the City Council's inaction shall be deemed a rejection of the proposal. City Council may request additional information from a municipal entity at any point before giving approval.

(c) To commence the process of seeking City Council approval, pursuant to subsection (b), to fund, acquire, or use surveillance technology or to enter into an agreement concerning such funding, acquisition, or use, a municipal entity shall submit to the City Council and make publicly available a Surveillance Impact Report and Surveillance Use Policy concerning the technology at issue.

1. No use of surveillance technology by a municipal entity pursuant to subsection (b) shall be permitted without the City Council's express approval of the related Surveillance Impact Report and Surveillance Use Policy submitted by the municipal entity pursuant to subsection (c).
2. Use of an unmanned aerial vehicle management platform may be used by a municipal entity to provide rapid deployment software for unmanned aerial vehicles and track relevant unmanned aerial vehicle flight data for use in the Surveillance Impact Report and Surveillance Use Policy.
3. Prior to approving or rejecting a Surveillance Impact Report or Surveillance Use Policy submitted pursuant to subsection (c), the City Council may request revisions be made by the submitting municipal entity.

(d) Surveillance Impact Report: A Surveillance Impact Report submitted pursuant to subsection (c) shall be a publicly-released, legally enforceable written report that

includes, at a minimum, the following:

1. Information describing the surveillance technology and how it works, including product descriptions from manufacturers;
 2. Information on the proposed purpose(s) of the surveillance technology;
 3. If the surveillance technology will not be uniformly deployed or targeted throughout the city, information concerning the factors will be used to determine where, when and how the technology is deployed or targeted;
 4. Results and Information gathered with unmanned aerial vehicle Management Software on unmanned aerial vehicle flight data;
 5. The fiscal impact of the surveillance technology; and
 6. An assessment identifying with specificity:
 - A. Any potential adverse impacts the surveillance technology, if deployed, might have on civil rights, civil liberties, and individuals privacy; and
 - B. What specific, affirmative measures will be implemented to safeguard the public from the potential adverse impacts identified pursuant to subsection (d)(5)(A).
- (e) Surveillance Use Policy: A Surveillance Use Policy submitted pursuant to subsection (c) shall be a publicly-released, legally enforceable written policy governing the municipal entity's use of the surveillance technology that, at a minimum, includes and addresses the following:
1. Purpose: What specific purpose(s) the surveillance technology is intended to advance.
 2. Authorized Use: For what specific capabilities and uses of the surveillance technology is authorization being sought, and
 - A. What legal and procedural rules will govern each authorized use;
 - B. What potential uses of the surveillance technology will be expressly prohibited, such as the warrantless surveillance of public events and gatherings and warrantless surveillance at or near venues that house children under the age of eighteen, such as schools, playgrounds, day care centers or group homes; and
 - C. How and under what circumstances will surveillance data that was collected, captured, recorded, or intercepted by the surveillance technology be analyzed and reviewed.

3. Data Collection:

- A. What types of surveillance data will be collected, captured, recorded, intercepted, or retained by the surveillance technology;
 - B. What surveillance data may be inadvertently collected during the authorized uses of the surveillance technology, and what measures will be taken to minimize the inadvertent collection of data; and
 - C. How inadvertently collected surveillance data will be expeditiously identified and deleted. Any inadvertently collected surveillance data containing the identity of children under eighteen should be immediately deleted absent a youth being specifically listed in an authorized warrant. In the case of a warrant specifically listing a youth, the identity of other children and youth under eighteen must be protected.
4. Data Protection: What safeguards will be used to protect surveillance data from unauthorized access, including encryption and access control mechanisms.
5. Data Retention: Insofar as the privacy of the public can be severely compromised by the long-term storage of mass surveillance data, what rules and procedures will govern the retention of surveillance data, including those governing:
- A. For what limited time period, if any, surveillance data will be retained. Such information shall include a statement explaining why the designated retention period is no greater than that which is absolutely necessary to achieve the specific purpose(s) enumerated in the Surveillance Use Policy;
 - B. What specific conditions must be met to retain surveillance data beyond the retention period stated in subsection (e)(5)(A); and
 - C. By what process surveillance data will be regularly deleted after the retention period stated in subsection (e)(5)(A) elapses and what auditing procedures will be implemented to ensure data is not improperly retained.
6. Surveillance Data Sharing: If a municipal entity is seeking authorization to share access to surveillance technology or surveillance data with any other governmental agencies, departments, bureaus, divisions, or units, it shall detail:
- A. How it will require that the collection, retention, and storage of surveillance data be conducted in compliance with the principles set forth in 28 C.F.R. Part 23, including but not limited to 28 C.F.R. Part 23.20(a), which states that a government entity operating a surveillance program "shall collect and maintain criminal intelligence information concerning an individual only if there is reasonable suspicion that the individual is involved in criminal conduct or activity and the information is relevant to that criminal conduct or activity."
 - B. Which governmental agencies, departments, bureaus, divisions, or units

will be approved for (i) surveillance technology sharing, and for (ii) surveillance data sharing;

- C. How such sharing is necessary for the stated purpose and use of the surveillance technology, including any unmanned aerial vehicle management platform utilized;
 - D. How it will ensure any entity's sharing access to the surveillance technology or surveillance data complies with the applicable Surveillance Use Policy and does not further disclose the surveillance data to unauthorized persons and entities; and
 - E. What processes will be used to seek approval of future surveillance technology or surveillance data sharing agreements from the municipal entity and City Council.
- 7. Demands for Access to Surveillance Data: What legal standard must be met by government entities or third parties seeking or demanding access to surveillance data.
 - 8. Auditing and Oversight: What mechanisms will be implemented to ensure the Surveillance Use Policy is followed, including what independent persons or entities will be given oversight authority, and what legally enforceable sanctions will be put in place for violations of the policy.
 - 9. Complaints: What procedures will be put in place by which members of the public can register complaints or concerns, or submit questions about the deployment or use of a specific surveillance technology, and how the municipal entity will ensure each question and complaint is responded to in a timely manner.
 - 10. Children and Youth: What specific procedures shall be employed to ensure that the confidentiality and privacy rights of children and youth under the age of eighteen are not violated.
- (f) No later than one hundred twenty (120) days following the effective date of this ordinance, any municipal entity seeking to continue the use of any surveillance technology that was in use prior to the effective date of this ordinance, or the sharing of surveillance data therefrom, must commence a City Council approval process in accordance with subsection (b). If the City Council has not approved the continuing use of the surveillance technology, including the Surveillance Impact Report and Surveillance Use Policy submitted pursuant to subsection (c), within one hundred eighty (180) days of their submission to the City Council, the municipal entity shall cease its use of the surveillance technology and the sharing of surveillance data therefrom until such time as City Council approval is obtained in accordance with this ordinance.
 - (g) If more than one municipal entity will have access to the surveillance technology or surveillance data, a lead municipal entity shall be identified. The lead municipal entity shall be responsible for maintaining the surveillance technology and ensuring compliance with all related laws, regulations and protocols.

- (h) The City Council shall only approve a request to fund, acquire, or use a surveillance technology if it determines the benefits of the surveillance technology outweigh its costs, that the proposal will safeguard civil liberties and civil rights, and that the uses and deployments of the surveillance technology will not be based upon discriminatory or viewpoint-based factors or have a disparate impact on any community or group. To assist the public in participating in such an analysis, all approved Surveillance Impact Reports and Surveillance Use Policies shall be made available to the public, at a designated page on the relevant municipal entity's public website, for as long as the related surveillance technology remains in use. An approval for the funding, acquisition and/or use of a surveillance technology by the City Council, where the risk of potential adverse impacts on civil liberties or civil rights has been identified in the Surveillance Impact Report pursuant to subsection (d)(5)(A), shall not be interpreted as an acquiescence to such impacts, but rather as an acknowledgement that a risk of such impacts exists and must be affirmatively avoided.
- (i) A municipal entity that obtains approval for the use of a surveillance technology must submit to the City Council, and make available on its public website, an Annual Surveillance Report for each specific surveillance technology used by the municipal entity within twelve (12) months of City Council approval, and annually thereafter on or before March 15. The Annual Surveillance Report shall, at a minimum, include the following information for the previous calendar year:
1. A summary of how the surveillance technology was used; drone flight data as recorded through any drone management platform utilized;
 2. Whether and how often collected surveillance data was shared with any external persons or entities, the name(s) of any recipient person or entity, the type(s) of data disclosed, under what legal standard(s) the information was disclosed, and the justification for the disclosure(s);
 3. Where applicable, a breakdown of where the surveillance technology was deployed geographically, by individual census tract as defined in the relevant year by the United States Census Bureau, and whether the surveillance took place at or near a venue likely to house children and youth (such as a school, park, daycare center, community center, or the like). For each census tract, the municipal entity shall report how many individual days the surveillance technology was deployed and what percentage of those daily-reported deployments were subject to (A) a warrant, and (B) a non-warrant form of court authorization;
 4. Where applicable, and with the greatest precision that is reasonably practicable, the amount of time the surveillance technology was used to monitor Internet activity, the number of people affected, including the number of children and youth under the age of eighteen, and what percentage of the reported monitoring was subject to (A) a warrant, and (B) a non-warrant form of court authorization;
 5. A summary of complaints or concerns that were received about the surveillance technology;
 6. The results of any internal audits, any information about violations of the

Surveillance Use Policy, and any actions taken in response;

7. An analysis of any discriminatory, disparate, and other adverse impacts the use of the technology may have had on the public's civil liberties and civil rights, including but not limited to those guaranteed by the First, Fourth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution; and
 8. Total annual costs for the surveillance technology, including personnel and other ongoing costs, and what source of funding will fund the technology in the coming year.
- (j) Within thirty (30) days of submitting and publicly releasing an Annual Surveillance Report pursuant to subsection (i), the municipal entity shall hold one or more well-publicized and conveniently located community engagement meetings at which the general public is invited to discuss and ask questions regarding the Annual Surveillance Report and the municipal agency's use of surveillance technologies.
- (k) The City Council shall review each Annual Surveillance Report within three (3) months of its submission. Based upon information provided by the unmanned aerial vehicle management platform, if one is utilized, and in the Annual Surveillance Report, the City Council shall determine whether each surveillance technology identified in response to subsection (i), as used by the report-submitting entity, has met the standard for approval set forth in subsection (h) and, if not, whether the use of the surveillance technology shall be discontinued or if City Council will require modifications to the Surveillance Use Policy that will resolve the observed failures. These determinations shall be made by a majority vote of City Council members at the next City Council meeting, at which there is quorum, after the date the review of the report is required. The president or majority leader of City Council shall then direct the Hartford Corporation Counsel's Office to send a letter, within seven (7) days of City Council's vote, to the municipal entity notifying the entity that it may continue to use the surveillance technology, it shall discontinue the use of the surveillance technology, or it shall make modifications to the Surveillance Use Policy that will resolve the observed failures.
- (l) Not later than January 31 of each year, the City Council or its appointed designee shall release an annual public report, in print and on its public website, containing the following information for the preceding calendar year:
1. The number of requests for approval submitted to the City Council under this ordinance for the funding, acquisition, or new use of surveillance technology;
 2. The number of times the City Council approved requests submitted under this ordinance for the funding, acquisition, or new use of surveillance technology;
 3. The number of times the City Council rejected requests submitted under this ordinance for the funding, acquisition, or new use of surveillance technology;
 4. The number of times the City Council requested modifications be made to Surveillance Impact Reports and Surveillance Use Policies before approving the funding, acquisition, or new use of surveillance technology; and

5. All Annual Surveillance Reports submitted pursuant to subsection (i). Printed copies of the public report may contain pinpoint references to online locations where the Annual Surveillance Reports are located, in lieu of reprinting the full reports.
 6. Data provided through any unmanned aerial vehicle management platform utilized, including but not limited to flight logs, number of deployments, and equipment maintenance.
-
- (m) Municipal employees or agents, except in response to a declared municipal, state, or federal state of emergency, shall not use any surveillance technology except in a manner consistent with policies approved pursuant to the terms of this ordinance, and may in no circumstances utilize surveillance technology in a manner which is discriminatory, viewpoint-based, or violates the City Charter, State Constitution, or United States Constitution. Any municipal employee who violates the provisions of this ordinance, or any implementing rule or regulation, may be subject to disciplinary proceedings and punishment. Any violation of the provisions of this ordinance shall be noted in the employee's human resources record.
 - (n) No municipal entity or anyone acting on behalf of a municipal entity may take or fail to take, or threaten to take or fail to take, a personnel action with respect to any employee or applicant for employment, including but not limited to discriminating with respect to compensation, terms, conditions, access to information, restrictions on due process rights, privileges of employment, or civil or criminal liability, because the employee or applicant was perceived to, about to, or assisted in any lawful disclosure of information concerning the funding, acquisition, or use of a surveillance technology or surveillance data to any relevant municipal agency, municipal law enforcement, prosecutorial, or investigatory office, or City Council Member, based upon a good faith belief that the disclosure evidenced a violation of this ordinance.
 - (o) It shall be unlawful for the city or any municipal entity to enter into any contract or other agreement that conflicts with the provisions of this ordinance, and any conflicting provisions in such contracts or agreements, including but not limited to non-disclosure agreements, shall be deemed void and legally unenforceable. Conflicting provisions in contracts or agreements signed prior to the enactment of this ordinance shall be deemed void and legally unenforceable to the extent permitted by law. This section shall not apply to collective bargaining agreements and related memorandums of agreement or understanding that pre-date this ordinance.
 - (p) It shall be unlawful for the city or any municipal entity to enter into any contract or other agreement that facilitates the receipt of surveillance data from, or provision of surveillance data to any non-governmental entity in exchange for any monetary or any other form of consideration from any source, including the assessment of any additional fees, interest, or surcharges on unpaid fines or debts. Any contracts or agreements signed prior to the enactment of this ordinance that violate this section shall be terminated as soon as is legally permissible.
 - (q) The provisions in this ordinance are severable. If any part or provision of this

ordinance, or the application of this ordinance to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, the remainder of this ordinance, including the application of such part or provisions to other persons or circumstances, shall not be affected by such holding and shall continue to have force and effect.

- (r) This ordinance shall take effect upon adoption.

Section 2-49. Use of unmanned aerial vehicles by City employees.

- (a) The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this section, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section:

Employee means any person employed by the City in any capacity whether elected or appointed, whether as a classified employee, unclassified employee, or on a contractual basis, permanent or temporary, full-time or part-time and all employees of the board of education. Employee also includes any person employed by any City department, office or agency, and any person, whether appointed or under contract, who provides services for the City, or any other political subdivision of the City for which a pension is provided.

Employee of the Hartford Fire Department means the fire chief, fire marshal, and the officers and members of the Hartford Fire Department.

Law enforcement officer means a member of the Hartford department of police, as described in section 29-1.

Municipal entity shall mean any municipal government, agency, department, bureau, division, or unit of this City.

Unmanned aerial vehicle means any contrivance used or designed for navigation of or flight in air that is power-driven and operated remotely or without the possibility of direct human intervention from within or on the contrivance.

- (b) Except as provided in subsections (c) and (d) of this act or otherwise provided by law, no person, except a person performing his or her duties as a law enforcement officer, shall operate or use any computer software or other technology, including, but not limited to, an unmanned aerial vehicle, that allows a person, when not physically present, to release tear gas or any like or similar deleterious agent or to remotely control a deadly weapon, as defined in Chapter 950 Sec. 53a-3 of the general statutes, or an explosive or incendiary device, as defined in Chapter 943 Sec. 53-206b of the general statutes. Any person who violates this subsection may be issued a municipal citation. A person who is issued a citation shall be subject to a fine of one thousand dollars (\$ 1,000). Any person issued a citation for violating this subsection may within ten (10) days of receipt of the citation, appeal in writing to a citation hearing officer in accordance with section 1-5 of the City Charter. This subsection shall be enforced by the Hartford chief of police.
- (c) No person who, as part of his or her duties as a City employee, operates an unmanned aerial vehicle, shall operate any such vehicle if such vehicle is equipped with tear gas or any like or similar deleterious agent or a deadly weapon, as defined in Chapter 950

Sec. 53a-3 of the Connecticut General Statutes, including, but not limited to, any explosive or incendiary device, as defined in Chapter 943 Sec. 53-206b of the Connecticut General Statutes. The provisions of this subsection shall not apply to a person who, as part of his or her duties as a law enforcement officer, operates an unmanned aerial vehicle that is equipped with explosive detection, detonation or disposal equipment, provided such law enforcement officer is authorized by the federal or state government to detect, detonate and dispose of explosives and is engaged in such detection, detonation or disposal.

(d) No City employee shall operate an unmanned aerial vehicle, unless:

(1) The City employee is a law enforcement officer; and

A. A judge of the Superior Court or judge trial referee has issued a warrant in accordance with Chapter 959 Sec. 54-33a of the Connecticut General Statutes authorizing the use of an unmanned aerial vehicle; or

B. The law enforcement officer has probable cause to believe that a criminal offense has been, is being or will be committed and exigent circumstances exist that make it unreasonable for the law enforcement officer to obtain a warrant authorizing the use of an unmanned aerial vehicle.

(i) The Hartford Police Department must provide the City Council with a credible risk report within thirty (30) days in all incidences involving the operation of an unmanned aerial vehicle due to an exigent circumstance exemption. A credible risk report shall include the date the Hartford Police Department operated an unmanned aerial vehicle without a warrant; the facts leading the law enforcement officer to have probable cause to believe that a criminal offense was committed, was being committed, or would be committed; the facts the law enforcement officer relied upon to determine that exigent circumstances existed; and a narrative that offers the law enforcement officer's justification for using an unmanned aerial vehicle without a warrant; and unmanned aerial vehicle flight data.

(2) The City employee is a law enforcement officer, employee of the Hartford Fire Department, or a designated employee of the Hartford City Tax Collector's Office; and

A. The operation is pursuant to training activities conducted by the employee while on land owned or leased by the federal or state government and does not occur in an area that is substantially populated;

B. The operation is used to reconstruct or document a specific crime or accident scene, motor vehicle accident, or hazardous materials accident;

C. The operation is used to assist and manage pre-fire planning, high-rise fires, commercial and residential fires, and post-fire investigations; or

D. The operation is used to assist in and manage severe weather emergencies, flood events, or search and rescue missions involving

missing person investigations, AMBER Alerts, and Silver Alerts; or

E. The operation is used to take photos of property for the purposes of assessing the value of real property for local real estate taxation purposes.

(3) The individual who will be the subject of the information collected by the operation of an unmanned aerial vehicle has given advance written consent to such operation, provided such individual is on property that is not owned or operated by a governmental entity that is open for public use, including, but not limited to, parks, streets or sidewalks.

(4) The owner of the property that will be the subject of the information collected by the operation of an unmanned aerial vehicle has given advance written consent to such operation.

(5) Any public event that has filed for a permit with the City of Hartford has requested public safety assistance and has over ten thousand (10,000) registered event participants.

(A) Event promoters and organizers must notify all registered participants at least one (1) day in advance of the event that the City of Hartford will deploy unmanned aerial vehicles during the event to surveille the public.

(6) Operation of an unmanned aerial vehicle shall not take place at or near venues that house children under the age of eighteen, such as schools, playgrounds, day care centers, or group homes unless there is a warrant for a specific individual. In the event that there is a warrant, steps must be taken to protect the confidentiality of all other individuals under the age of eighteen.

(7) The operation will not be used to replace a member of the civil service sector.

(e) An individual or privately owned property shall be considered to be the subject of information collected by the operation of an unmanned aerial vehicle if the information allows the identity of the person or information concerning parts of private property not visible from public property, to be ascertained or if the City employee operating the unmanned aerial vehicle acknowledges such individual or such property was the subject of the information.

(f) Information that was collected through the operation of an unmanned aerial vehicle that concerns an individual or privately owned property that was the subject of a warrant may be retained pursuant to the warrant.

(g) Information that was collected through the operation of an unmanned aerial vehicle pursuant to subdivision (3) or (4) of subsection (d) of this section that concerns an individual or privately owned property may be retained pursuant to the terms specified in such advance written consent.

(h) (1) Information that was collected through the operation of an unmanned aerial vehicle pursuant to subdivision (1)(B), (2)(A), (2)(B), (2)(C), (2)(D), (2)(E) or (5) of subsection (d) of this section that concerns an individual or privately owned property shall be reviewed by the municipal entity that operated the unmanned

aerial vehicle not later than thirty (30) days from the date of collection. The collected information shall be destroyed or modified pursuant to subdivision (2) of this subsection or retained pursuant to subdivision (3) of this subsection.

(2) If such information allows the identity of an individual or privately owned property to be ascertained and there is no probable cause to believe that an offense was committed by the individual or on the property, the municipal entity (A) shall destroy such information not later than forty-eight (48) hours after such review, or (B) shall permanently modify such information so that the identity of such individual or such property cannot be ascertained, and, after such modification, may retain the modified information for a period of not more than five (5) years from the date of collection and, after such retention, shall destroy the modified information.

(3) If such information was collected through the operation of an unmanned aerial vehicle pursuant to subdivision (1)(B), (2)(A), (2)(B), (2)(C), (2)(D), or (5) of subsection (d) of this section and allows the identity of an individual or privately owned property to be ascertained and there is probable cause to believe that an offense was committed by the individual or on the property, the municipal entity may retain such information for a period of not more than five (5) years from the date of collection and, after such retention, shall destroy such information, except that, if a warrant is issued in accordance with Chapter 959 Sec. 54-33a of the Connecticut General Statutes of the general statutes based in part on such information, such information may be retained pursuant to the warrant. If such information was collected through the operation of an unmanned aerial vehicle pursuant to subdivision (2)(E) and allows the identity of an individual or privately owned property to be ascertained, the municipal entity (A) shall destroy such information not later than forty-eight (48) hours after its review, or (B) shall permanently modify such information so that the identity of such individual or such property cannot be ascertained, and, after such modification, may retain the modified information for a period of not more than five (5) years from the date of collection and, after such retention, shall destroy the modified information. Information collected through the operation of an unmanned aerial vehicle pursuant to subdivision (2)(E) shall not be used in the prosecution of a crime.

(4) No municipal entity or City employee shall, by using facial recognition software, appearance similarity video synopsis software, or any similar technology, analyze information that was collected through the operation of an unmanned aerial vehicle.

- (i) Not later than ninety (90) days after the Court of Common Council of the City of Hartford's adoption of this section, each municipal entity shall adopt and maintain a written policy that meets the policies set forth in this section. Each municipal entity's policy shall require all City employees who operate unmanned aerial vehicles to complete a Federal Aviation Administration approved training program to ensure proper use and operations. Prior to deploying or operating an unmanned aerial vehicle, each municipal entity shall obtain all applicable authorizations, permits, and/or certifications required by the Federal Aviation Administration, and these authorizations, permits, and certificates shall be maintained and current, as required by the Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems federal regulations, C.F.R. § T. 14, Ch. I, Subch. F, Pt. 107.
- (j) Not later than ninety (90) days after the Court of Common Council of the City of Hartford's adoption of this section, the City of Hartford Corporation Counsel's office

Hartford Proposed Surveillance Technology and Drone Ordinance Summary

Sec. 2-48. – City Council’s power to protect city residents regarding surveillance technology.

Subsection (a) - Definitions

Provides definitions for the entire section. “Discriminatory,” “disparate impact,” “municipal entity,” “surveillance data,” “surveillance technology,” “unmanned aerial vehicle,” and “viewpoint-based” are defined. The word “contrivance,” as used in the definition of “unmanned aerial vehicle,” means device.

Subsection (b) – City Council Approval of Surveillance Technology and Public Hearings

Requires a municipal entity to get approval from City Council before seeking funds for, preparing to acquire, or borrowing new surveillance technology or using new or existing surveillance technology in a way that has not been approved by City Council.

Requires City Council to hold a public hearing before giving approval to a municipal entity. If City Council doesn’t hold a hearing within 120 days of the start of the approval process, the inaction is deemed a rejection.

Allows City Council to ask for more information at any time before giving approval.

Subsection (c) – Surveillance Impact Reports and Surveillance Use Policies

Requires a municipal entity to submit and make publicly available a Surveillance Impact Report and a Surveillance Use Policy regarding the surveillance technology for which it is seeking City Council’s approval before using the technology.

Allows City Council to request that the municipal entity make revisions to its report or policy before it approves or rejects them.

Subsection (d) – Surveillance Impact Reports

Lists components that a municipal entity must include in a Surveillance Impact Report. Components include the description, purpose, and cost of technology; where it will be used; and an assessment of potential impacts on civil liberties and rights and how the public will be safeguarded.

Subsection (e) - Surveillance Use Policies

Lists components that a municipal entity must include in a Surveillance Use Policy, a written policy governing the municipal entity’s use of the surveillance technology. Components include the purpose, specific uses of the technology, data collection, data protection, data retention, surveillance data sharing and access, auditing and oversight, and complaints.

Subsection (f) – Continued Use of Surveillance Technology Acquired before Effective Date

Requires a municipal entity to commence a City Council approval process within 120 days of the ordinance’s effective date if it wants to continue to use surveillance technology that was in use prior to the ordinance’s effective date.

Requires a municipal entity to stop using surveillance technology and sharing surveillance data if City Council does not approve its continued use of the technology, including the Surveillance Impact Report and Surveillance Use Policy, within 180 days of their submission to City Council. A municipal entity may use the technology once it has City Council’s approval.

Subsection (g) – Lead Municipal Entity

Requires the identification of a lead municipal entity if more than one entity will have access to the surveillance technology or data. The lead entity is responsible for maintaining the technology and ensuring compliance with laws, regulations, and protocols.

Subsection (r) – Contracts/Agreements in Conflict with Ordinance

Prohibits Hartford or a municipal entity from entering into a contract or agreement that conflicts with the ordinance. Deems void and legally unenforceable any such contract or agreement, whether signed before or after the effective date of the ordinance.

Subsection (s) – Selling Data

Prohibits Hartford or a municipal entity from entering into a contract or agreement to receive money or other forms of consideration for providing surveillance data to non-governmental entities.

Subsection (t) – Severability

States that if any part of the ordinance is found to be invalid, the other parts of it will continue to be valid.

Subsection (u) – Effective Date

Requires ordinance to take effect upon adoption.

(4) The owner of the property that will be the subject of the information collected by the operation of the drone has given advance written consent to its use.

(5) The operation is used for a permitted public event that has requested public safety assistance and has over 10,000 registered participants. Event promoters and organizers must notify registered participants at least 1 day in advance that the city will use drones during the event.

(6) Prohibits the operation of drones at or near venues that house children under 18 unless there is a warrant for a specific individual. If there is such a warrant, the entity is required to take steps to protect the confidentiality of all others under 18.

Subsection (e) – Individual or Property as Subject of Information

Provides that an individual or privately owned property is considered to be the subject of information collected by the operation of a drone when (1) the information collected allows the identity of the person or property to be ascertained or (2) the city employee operating the drone acknowledges that the person or property was the subject.

Subsection (f) – Retention of Information Collected Pursuant to Warrant

Allows information collected through drone use that concerns a person or privately owned property that was the subject of a warrant [(d)(1)(A)] to be retained pursuant to the warrant.

Subsection (g) – Retention of Information Collected Pursuant to Written Consent

Allows information collected through drone use where the individual who is the subject or the owner of property that is the subject gave advance written consent [(d)(3) and (d)(4)] to be retained pursuant to the advance written consent.

Subsection (h) – Review, Retention, and Modification of Information

- (1) Requires information collected through drone use where the officer had probable cause to believe that a criminal offense had been, was being or would be committed and exigent circumstances made it unreasonable to obtain a warrant [(d)(1)(B)]; the operation was pursuant to training activities [(d)(2)(A)]; the operation was used to reconstruct or document a specific crime or accident scene, motor vehicle accident, or hazardous materials accident [(d)(2)(B)]; the operation was used to assist and manage pre-fire planning, high-rise fires, commercial and residential fires, and post-fire investigations [(d)(2)(C)]; the operation was used to assist in and manage severe weather emergencies, flood events, or search and rescue missions involving missing person investigations, AMBER Alerts, and Silver Alerts [(d)(2)(D)]; or the operation was used for a permitted public event that had requested public safety assistance and had over 10,000 registered participants [(d)(5)], that concerns an individual or privately owned property to be reviewed by the municipal entity within 30 days after its collection.
- (2) The information must be destroyed within 48 hours of the review or must be modified so that the identity cannot be ascertained if the identity of a person or privately owned property can be ascertained and there is no probable cause to believe an offense was committed by the person or on the property. If the information is modified, the information may be retained for no more than 5 years after collection and then must be destroyed.
- (3) The information may be retained for no more than 5 years after collection and then must be destroyed if the identity of a person or privately owned property can be ascertained and there is probable cause to believe an offense was committed by the person or on the property. If a warrant is issued based in part on such information, though, it may be retained pursuant to the warrant.
- (4) Prohibits municipal entities and city employees from analyzing information a drone collected by using facial recognition or appearance similarity video synopsis software.

Requires a municipal entity to provide, within 7 days, an incident report or reports to the City Council if a City Council member requests the report or reports.

Subsection (k) – Annual Surveillance Reports

Requires each municipal entity that uses a drone to include specific information about its drone use for the previous year in its Annual Surveillance Report, which is required earlier in the ordinance by (i) of Section 2-48.

Subsection (l) – Liability insurance

Requires the City of Hartford to acquire a liability insurance for the unmanned aerial vehicles.

Subsection (m) – Effective Date

Requires ordinance to take effect upon adoption.

Introduced
by:

James Sánchez, Majority Leader

HEADING
AND
PURPOSE

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING DIVISION 9D, CHAPTER 2, ARTICLE 5, SECTION 2-293(b) OF THE HARTFORD FILM, VIDEO, DIGITAL, MEDIA AND SOCIAL MEDIA COMMISSION ORDINANCE OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF HARTFORD.

COURT OF COMMON COUNCIL
CITY OF HARTFORD

November 13, 2018

Be It Ordained by the Court of Common Council of the City of Hartford:

That Division 9D, Chapter 2, Article 5, Section 2-293(b) of the Hartford Film, Video, Digital, Media and Social Media Ordinance of the Municipal Code of Hartford is hereby amended to read as follows:

(b) There is established a Hartford Film, Video, Digital, Media and Social Media Commission (the "Commission"). The Mayors shall nominate all members of the Commission and submit nominations to the Court of the Common Council for approval in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Charter of the City of Hartford. The Commission shall consist of not less than five (5) nor more than nine (9) members (the "Members"). The Members shall be Hartford residents, [or Hartford business owners who are not residents of Hartford but who have strong ties to Hartford] except that no more than two (2) Members may be non-Hartford residents. Each Member shall be knowledgeable in any one (1) or more of the subject areas that are within the ambit of the Commission.

This ordinance, as amended above, shall take effect upon adoption.

Introduced by:

James Sánchez, Majority Leader

**HEADING
AND
PURPOSE**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF
HARTFORD**

COURT OF COMMON COUNCIL,
CITY OF HARTFORD

November 13, 2018

Be It Ordained by the Court of Common Council of the City of Hartford:

That Chapter 2 of the Municipal Code of Hartford be amended, adding Article XXIII, Section 2-938, as follows:

ARTICLE XXIII. - DRONES

Sec. 2-938. – Drone Use by Police and Fire Departments.

Not later than ninety (90) days from the date of the enactment of this ordinance, the City of Hartford Police Department and the City of Hartford Fire Department shall each promulgate a written policy governing the use of drones in the respective operations of their respective departments. The aforementioned policies shall be promulgated solely in accordance with applicable State and Federal rules, laws, codes, regulations, rulings, requirements, policies and orders, and, with the exception of this ordinance, and to the extent allowed by law, to the exclusion of any and all municipal laws. The aforementioned City of Hartford departments shall use drones in their respective operations in strict compliance with the respective written policies promulgated hereunder and solely in accordance with applicable State and Federal rules, laws, codes, regulations, rulings, requirements, policies and orders, and, with the exception of this ordinance, and to the extent allowed by law, to the exclusion of any and all municipal laws. As used herein, the term “drone,” or language of similar import, shall be construed to apply to any Unmanned Aircraft System as the same is defined by Federal law. As used herein, the term “operations” shall be construed to apply to the ordinary and/or necessary activities of the department in question, including, but not limited to, the recording and storage of images and/or sounds. Where this ordinance and any other City of Hartford ordinance deal with the same subject matter, this ordinance shall prevail, to the exclusion of the other ordinance, so far as they conflict.

This ordinance shall take effect upon enactment.

3rd REPLACEMENT

ITEM# 4 ON AGENDA

INTRODUCED BY:

Councilwoman rJo Winch

Minority Leader Wildaliz Bermudez

Councilman Thomas Clarke, II

Councilwoman Maly Rosado

COURT OF COMMON COUNCIL

City of Hartford, November 13, 2018

- Whereas: Many have made major contributions to the city of Hartford and deserve noticeable recognition for their works and deeds which continue to benefit the residents of Hartford and being deceased should not be a criteria and.
- Whereas Many have been helped by the dedicated service of the individuals named in this resolution. Therefore, be it
- Resolved, That the corner of Judson and Barbour Street be Super Imposed "J. Surgess Way", and be it further
- Resolved: That the corner of Garden and Ashley Street be Super Imposed "Marie Kirkley-Bey Way", and be it further
- Resolved That the corner of Sigourney Street and Homestead Avenue be Super Imposed "Prenzina Holloway Way", and be it further
- Resolved That the corner of Woodstock Street and Blue Hills Avenue be Super Imposed "Norman Lear Street"

Introduced
by:

Luke A. Bronin, Mayor

HEADING
AND
PURPOSE

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 26, ARTICLE II, SECTION 26-31
("UNLAWFUL CONDUCT GENERALLY") OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF
HARTFORD

COURT OF COMMON COUNCIL
CITY OF HARTFORD

October 22, 2018

Be It Ordained by the Court of Common Council of the City of Hartford:

That Chapter 26 – Parks and Recreation, Article II – Conduct Regulated, Section 26-31 - Unlawful conduct generally - of the Municipal Code of Hartford is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 26-31. – Unlawful conduct generally.

It shall be unlawful for any person to annoy other persons or to disturb the animals, birds or fish, or commit any act of nuisance in any park, except that lawful fishing in parks is permitted only at Batterson [Lake] Park Pond [and at], Waltermere Pond in Keney Park, Charter Oak Landing, Riverside Park, Mortensen Riverfront Plaza, and Goodwin Park, provided that no person fishing in Goodwin Park shall be permitted to do so while being positioned in or on any land that is within the Goodwin Park Golf Course, during the fishing season as established by the State of Connecticut for such [lake and pond] waters, and subject to the applicable hours of operation for the respective parks in which such [lake and pond] waters are situated.

This ordinance, as amended above, shall take effect upon adoption.



ITEM # 6 ON AGENDA

Luke A. Bronin
Mayor

November 13, 2018

Honorable Glendowlyn L.H. Thames, Council President, and
Members of the Court of Common Council
City of Hartford
550 Main Street
Hartford, CT 06103

**RE: Authorizing Approval of Bond Issue for Public Finance Authority
for Zion Park Apartments Solely for Tax Purposes**

Dear Council President Thames:

Attached for your consideration is a resolution authorizing the City to conduct a public hearing and grant its "host approval" for purposes of Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code in connection with the issuance of bonds by the Public Finance Authority ("PFA") for the Zion Park Apartments, an affordable housing development located at 851 Park Street.

PFA is a national bond issuer created under the laws of the State of Wisconsin and is authorized to issue bonds for projects in different States. PFA intends to issue approximately \$44.3 million of multifamily housing revenue bonds to finance the renovation of several affordable housing projects, including approximately \$5.7 million for the 46-unit Zion Park Apartments project.

The bonds to be issued are revenue bonds, secured solely by revenues of the housing projects, including HUD grants. Neither the State, the City, nor any other entity will have any liability for the repayment of these bonds.

In order for the bonds to qualify for tax-exemption, Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code requires that the bonds be approved by the highest elected official in the municipality where the Project is located (i.e., the Mayor for the City of Hartford), following a public hearing for the issuance of the Bonds. The City's approval would be solely for federal income tax purposes and no other purpose.

In accordance with Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code, please refer this item to Public Hearing in the future.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "LB", followed by a horizontal line.

Luke A. Bronin
Mayor

550 Main Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06103
Telephone (860) 757-9500
Facsimile (860) 722-6606

INTRODUCED BY:
Luke A. Bronin, Mayor

COURT OF COMMON COUNCIL
City of Hartford, November 13, 2018

WHEREAS, Public Finance Authority ("PFA") has represented to the City of Hartford, Connecticut (the "City") that it is a public commission organized under and existing pursuant to the provisions of Section 66.0301, 66.0303 and 66.0304 of the Wisconsin Statutes, as amended; and

WHEREAS, PFA has represented to the City that it intends to issue one or more series of its Public Finance Authority Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds (Dogwood Housing, Inc. Portfolio Project), Series 2018 (the "Bonds"), in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$44,320,000, the proceeds of which will be used for the (a) financing the acquisition and/or renovation of various rental housing communities owned or to be owned by affiliates of Dogwood Housing, Inc., a Maryland nonprofit corporation (the "Borrower"), (b) funding reserves and (c) paying certain costs of issuance of the Bonds, and the Borrower expects to use approximately \$5,680,000 aggregate principal amount of the proceeds of the Bonds to finance the renovation of a 46-unit multifamily housing residential rental community located at 851 Park Street, Hartford, Connecticut 06106 known as Zion Park Apartments (the "Local Project"); and

WHEREAS, In order for the interest on the Bonds to be exempt from federal income tax, Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), requires the approval of the governmental unit having jurisdiction over the area in which the Local Project is located; and

WHEREAS, Section 147(f) of the Code provides that the Bonds are treated as approved by the governmental unit if the Bonds are approved by the "applicable elected representative" of such governmental unit after a public hearing following reasonable public notice; and

WHEREAS, The Bonds will be secured solely by revenues and property of the Borrower and do not constitute a debt or liability of the State of Connecticut (the "State"), the City or any political subdivision of the State, and none of the State, the City or any political subdivision of the State shall pledge its faith and credit or any taxing power for the repayment of the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor is the applicable elected representative of the City pursuant to the Code, and the Court of Common Council conducts the City's public hearings; now therefore be it,

RESOLVED, That solely for purposes of satisfying the public approval requirements of Section 147(f) of the Code, the Court of Common Council authorizes PFA to notice and conduct a public hearing in the future with respect to the issuance of the Bonds and the financing of the Local Project, in Hartford City Hall located at 550 Main Street, Hartford, CT 06130, as required by the Code; and be it further

RESOLVED, That solely for purposes of satisfying the public approval requirements of Section 147(f) of the Code, the Mayor is hereby authorized to consider and approve the issuance of the Bonds, wherein such approval is not to be construed as an endorsement thereof; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Mayor and City Clerk are hereby authorized to execute and deliver necessary and appropriate documents for the purposes set forth above, including but not limited to one or more certificates, as may be necessary to evidence the City's approval of the issuance of the Bonds, upon and subject to the above terms and conditions and such other terms and conditions that the Mayor and the Corporation Counsel may deem appropriate and in the best interest of the City; and be it further

RESOLVED, That no person or entity shall be entitled to rely on, or otherwise claim any benefit by reason of this Resolution should the Mayor fail to execute the aforementioned documents, or to take any of the aforesaid actions; and be it further

RESOLVED, That all approvals and authorizations provided hereby are contingent upon, and only shall be effective on and by means of, the parties executing such documents, and taking such actions, all of which shall be, in form and substance, acceptable to the Mayor and the Corporation Counsel.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Notice is hereby given that a public hearing as required by Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and Section 5f 103-2(f) of the United States Treasury Regulations, will be held by the Public Finance Authority, a public commission organized under and pursuant to the provisions of Sections 66.0301, 66.0303 and 66.0304 of the Wisconsin Statutes, as amended ("PFA"), at 550 Main Street, Hartford, Connecticut 06103, for the purpose of providing a reasonable opportunity for interested individuals to express their views, either orally or in writing, as to the authorization and issuance by PFA of one or more series of Public Finance Authority Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds (Dogwood Housing, Inc. Portfolio), Series 2018 (the "Bonds"), in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$44,320,000.

The proceeds of the Bonds will be used for the purposes of (a) financing the acquisition and/or renovation of various rental housing communities owned or to be owned by affiliates of Dogwood Housing, Inc., a Maryland nonprofit corporation that is exempt within the meaning of Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Borrower"); (b) funding reserves and (c) paying certain costs of issuance of the Bonds. The Bonds are to be issued in one or more series pursuant to a plan of finance, pursuant to Section 66.0304 of the Wisconsin Statutes, as amended.

The Borrower expects to use the proceeds of the Bonds to finance the communities as further described: (a) approximately \$4,350,000 to finance the renovation of a 68-unit multifamily housing residential rental community at 4413 Cypress Creek Avenue East, Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35405; **(b) approximately \$5,680,000 to finance the renovation of a 46-unit multifamily housing residential rental community located at 851 Park Street, Hartford, Connecticut 06106**, (c) approximately \$2,570,000 to finance the renovation of a 42-unit multifamily housing residential rental community located at 1013 Cedar Avenue, Albany, Georgia 31701, (d) approximately \$1,680,000 to finance the renovation of a 36-unit multifamily housing residential rental community at West 22nd Street, Cordele, Georgia 31015, (e) approximately \$5,490,000 to finance the renovation of a 96-unit multifamily housing residential rental community located at 1105 Edward Street, Fort Valley, Georgia 31030, (f) approximately \$14,060,000 to finance the acquisition and renovation of a 73-unit multifamily housing residential rental community for senior citizens located at 401 Green Street, Maywood, Illinois 60153 and (g) approximately \$10,550,000 to finance the acquisition and renovation of a 100-unit multifamily housing residential rental community for seniors located at 1047 N Emily Place, Peoria, Illinois 61604 (each a "Project" and collectively, the "Projects"). Each Project will be owned by a special purpose entity that is an affiliate of the Borrower.

THE BONDS SHALL NOT REPRESENT OR CONSTITUTE A DEBT OR PLEDGE OF FAITH AND CREDIT OR ANY TAXING POWER OF PFA, THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT (THE "STATE"), THE CITY OF HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT OR ANY OTHER POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE. THE BONDS ARE PAYABLE SOLELY FROM FUNDS PAID BY THE BORROWER AND SHALL BE SECURED BY COLLATERAL FURNISHED BY THE BORROWER.

Interested members of the public are invited to attend. Persons wishing to express their views regarding the Projects and the issuance of the Bonds may appear at the hearing or may submit comments in writing. Written comments regarding the foregoing should be submitted to the City of Hartford, Connecticut, 550 Main Street, Hartford, CT 06130, Attn: John V. Bazzano, Town and City Clerk, at least 24 hours prior to the hearing. Any person requiring reasonable, special accommodation to participate in this meeting because of a disability or physical impairment should contact City at 860-757-9311 at least five business days prior to the meeting. This notice is given pursuant to Section 147(f) of the Code.