FEDERAL SINGLE AUDIT STATE SINGLE AUDIT DETAIL REPORTS



For The Fiscal Year, July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007

CITY OF HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE

FEDERAL SINGLE AUDIT AND STATE SINGLE AUDIT REPORTS

JUNE 30, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Federal Single Audit

Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program, on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB	
Circular A-133 and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	1-2
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3-7
Notes to Schedule	8-9
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	10-12
State Single Audit	
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program, on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with the State Single Audit Act and on the Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance	13-14
Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance	15-18
Notes to Schedule	19
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	20-21
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance	
with Government Auditing Standards	22-26

BlumShapıro

Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program, on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and on the Schedule of **Expenditures of Federal Awards**

The Honorable Mayor and Members of the Court of Common Council City of Hartford, Connecticut

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Hartford, Connecticut, with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007. The City of Hartford, Connecticut's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the City of Hartford, Connecticut's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City of Hartford, Connecticut's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City of Hartford, Connecticut's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City of Hartford, Connecticut's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City of Hartford, Connecticut, complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007. The results of our auditing procedures also disclosed an instance of noncompliance with those requirements, which is required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 07-01.

Internal Control over Compliance

The management of the City of Hartford, Connecticut, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City of Hartford, Connecticut's internal control over compliance with the

requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Hartford, Connecticut's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the City's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses as defined below. However, as discussed below, we identified a deficiency in internal control over compliance that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiency in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 07-01 to be a significant deficiency.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We do not consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be a material weakness.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Blum, Shapino + Company, P.C.

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Hartford, Connecticut, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007 and have issued our report thereon dated December 28, 2007. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Hartford, Connecticut's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Except for the exclusion of the noncash portion of the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Program (CFDA #10.557) from the basic financial statements, such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended for the information and use of management, the Mayor and Members of the Court of Common Council, the Board of Education, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

December 28, 2007

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures
United States Department of Agriculture Passed Through the State of Connecticut Department of Administrative Services:		
Food Donation	10.550	\$ 670,588
Passed Through the State of Connecticut Department of Education:		
Child Nutrition Cluster: School Breakfast Program National School Lunch Program-Food Service Summer Food Service Program Child and Adult Care Food Program Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program	10.553 10.555 10.559 10.558 10.582	1,816,551 7,605,436 267,041 118,680 16,420
Passed Through the State of Connecticut Department of Public Health:		
Women, Infants and Children/Food Benefits Women, Infants and Children	10.557 10.557	7,478,195 877,756
Total Department of Agriculture		18,850,667
United States Department of Education Direct Programs:		
Impact Aid Federal Safe and Drug Free Schools Drug and Violence Prevention Coordinators for Middle Schools Magnet School Assistance Smaller Learning Communities Teaching American History Grants Title VII - Bilingual Education Act - System Wide	84.041 84.184 84.184K 84.165 84.215L 84.215X 84.291R	50,139 2,230,946 186,256 3,255,826 496,540 838,983 57,343
Passed Through the State of Connecticut Department of Education:		
Adult Education-State Grant Program Migrant Education-State Grant Program IDEA Part B-Individuals with Disabilities, Section 611 IDEA Preschool, Section 619 Vocational Education Basic Grants Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities-State Grants Education of Homeless and Youth Reading First State Grant Reading First State Grant Reading First State Grant	84.002 84.011 84.027 84.173 84.048 84.186 84.196 84.357 84.357	88,745 275,905 6,215,554 239,379 904,189 342,594 50,310 331,687 345,871 322,262
Reading First State Grant (Continued on next page)	84.357	90,919

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (CONTINUED)

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures
Reading First State Grant	84.357	\$ 36,630
Reading First State Grant	84.357	36,990
Twenty-First Century Community Learning Center	84.287	143,006
State Grants for Innovative Programs	84.298	80,907
Education Technology State Grants	84.318	72,019
Education Technology State Grants	84.318	143,432
State Program Improvement Grant	84.323	462,355
Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration	84.332	536,226
English Language Acquisition Grants	84.365	956,751
Mathematics and Science Partnerships	84.366	18,814
Improving Teacher Quality State Program	84.367	4,066,231
Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	20,904,628
Total Department of Education		43,781,437
United States Department of Health And Human Services Direct from Public Health Services, Bureau of Health Resources:		
HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants	93.914	3,967,257
Medical Reserve Corp. Grant	93.008	63,530
Passed Through the State of Connecticut Department of Public Health:		
Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations		
and Technical Assistance	93.283	68,688
HIV Prevention Activities - Health Department Based	93.940	84,456
Metro-Hartford HIV Prevention Coalition	93.243	148,240
Sexually Transmitted Diseases Control Grants	93.977	90,576
Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program	93.197	110,552
Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant	93.991	40,927
Bioterrorism Training and Curriculum Development Program	93.996	63,794
Maternal and Child Health Federal Consolidated Programs	93.110	36,562
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grants	93.994	125,000
Immunization Grants	93.268	79,255
Immunization Grants - Cash Value of Vaccines Provided	93.268	62,241
Passed Through the Department of Social Services:		
Social Services Block Grant:		
SSBG Independent Transit Living Services	93.667	11,953
SSBG Day Care	93.667	1,086,674
Total Department of Health and Human Services		6,039,705
(Continued on next page)		

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (CONTINUED)

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development <i>Direct Programs:</i>		
Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation Lower Income Housing Assistance Program - Section 8	14.182	\$ 303,785
Moderate Rehabilitation	14.856	1,653,131
Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871	36,489,720
Home Investment Partnership Program	14.239	2,867,812
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218	5,995,496
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	14.231	153,925
Housing Opportunities for Persons with Aids	14.241	1,126,353
CDBG - Section 108 Loan Guarantees - Neighborhood Mall	14.248	84,878
Park Streetscape Phase II	14.244	605,995
Parkville Municipal Development	14.244	70,000
Parkville Neighborhood Loan Guarantee	14.244	21,000
Total Department of Housing and Urban Development		49,372,095
United States Department of Justice Direct Programs:		
Byrne Formula Grant	16.579	21,818
FBI Safe Streets	16.595	31,882
Hartford Resident Office DEA	16.595	14,512
Weed & Seed Upper Albany & Clay Arsenal	16.595	69,754
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants (MORE 98)	16.710	700,737
Anti-Gang Initiative	16.744	161,728
Total Department of Justice		1,000,431
United States Department of Transportation Passed Through the State of Connecticut Department of Transportation:		
State and Community Highway Safety:	20.501	24025
Alcohol Traffic Safety and Drunk Driving Prevention Grants	20.601	36,825
DUI Enforcement Vehicle Program	20.600	62,730
Highway Planning and Construction:	20.207	240
Tourist Destination Signage (63-575)	20.205	248
Trinity College Area Improvement	20.205	1,814,914
Demolition of Mixmaster/Temple Street	20.205	511,827

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (CONTINUED)

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures
River Walk North Huyshope Avenue Street Scape	20.205 20.205	\$ 1,179,700
Huysnope Avenue Street Scape	20.203	819,628
Total Department of Transportation		4,425,872
United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration Direct Programs:		
Foonomie Adjustment Assistance	11.307	11,993
Economic Adjustment Assistance Economic Development - Technical Assistance	11.307	177,050
Economic Development Technical Assistance	11.505	
Total Department of Commerce		189,043
United States Department of Homeland Security <i>Direct Program:</i>		
Assistance to Firefighters Grants	97.044	68,446
Passed Through the State of Connecticut Department of Emergency Management and Homeland Security:		
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	77,365
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance	97.036	201,571
Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program	97.074	20,000
Passed Through the State Military Department:		
Emergency Management Assistance	97.004	2,556
Passed Through the United Way of the Capital Area: Emergency Food and Shelter National Board Program	97.024	29,566
Total Department of Homeland Security		399,504
United States Department of State Passed Through the State Department of Environmental Protection:		
College and University Affiliations Program	19.406	355,820

(Continued on next page)

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (CONTINUED)

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	_]	Expenditures
Environmental Protection Agency Passed Through the State Department of Environmental Protection:			
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund Program	66.805	\$_	231,981
Election Assistance Commission Passed Through the State of Connecticut Secretary of the State: Help America Vote Act Requirements Payments United States Department of Energy Passed Through the State of Connecticut Office of Policy	90.401	_	18,988
and Management:			
State Energy Program	81.041	_	25,000
Total Federal Awards Expended		\$_	124,690,543

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

Various agencies of the Federal Government have made financial assistance available to the City of Hartford, Connecticut. These grants fund several programs including housing, education, human services, transportation and general government activities.

Note 1 - **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:**

The accounting policies of the City of Hartford, Connecticut, conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applicable to government entities. The following is a summary of the more significant policies relating to the aforementioned grant programs.

Basis of Accounting - The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the City of Hartford, Connecticut, and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*.

Note 2 - Noncash Awards:

Donated commodities in the amount of \$670,588 are included in the Department of Agriculture's Food Donation Program, CFDA #10.550. The amount represents the market value of commodities received.

The City also reports noncash awards under the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Program. The amount of \$7,478,195 included in the schedule of federal awards represents payments made directly to suppliers by the State of Connecticut, as reported by the State Department of Public Health, for eligible participants of the program.

Note 3 - **Summary of Prior Year Findings**

06-1 The prior year finding was related to a decrease in the level of 2005-2006 expenditures relative to 2004-2005 for activities related to communicable disease/HIV counseling, testing and prevention. The City met level of effort requirements in the current year as such expenditures for 2006-2007 increased over the prior year.

- 06-2 The prior year finding related to the Davis-Bacon Act and concerned the reporting of wages by self-employed contractors. Some instances of self-employed contractors improperly submitting their own certified payrolls were noted. These instances were in the beginning of 2006-2007. Evidence indicated that the errors had been corrected during 2006-2007 and that the City of Hartford had made personnel changes impacting Davis-Bacon.
- 06-3 The prior year finding was related to the Title I comparability form being improperly prepared. Enrollment figures were not supported by documentation, and numerous calculation errors were noted in the report. The finding is updated and reported as finding 07-01.
- 06-4 The prior year finding was related to the City of Hartford's failure to perform annual income eligibility redetermination for all families receiving federally-funded daycare services. Internal procedures were strengthened to ensure that redeterminations were performed for all families. Annual redeterminations were performed for all 2006-2007 daycare recipients reviewed.

Note 4 - Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Section 108 Loans

The City has entered into three Federal HUD Section 108 Loans:

	June 30, 2006	Issued	Retired	_	June 30, 2007
Burgdorf/Fleet Health Center,					
October 28, 1997	\$ 1,720,000	\$	105,000	\$	1,615,000
Adriaen's Landing Marriott					
Hotel, June 30, 2004	8,000,000		8,000,000		-
Neighborhood Mall, June 30,					
June 27, 2005	1,500,000				1,500,000
Total Section 108 Loans	\$ 11,220,000	\$ \$	8,105,000	\$	3,115,000

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

I. Summary of Auditors' Results

Summary of Auditors' Resu	ilts				
Financial Statements					
Type of auditor's report issue	d:				Unqualified
Internal control over financia	l reporting:				
• Material weakness(es) ide	entified?	X	yes		no
• Significant deficiency(ies					
considered to be material		X	yes		none reported
Noncompliance material to fi	nancial statements noted	1?	yes	X	no
Federal Awards					
Internal control over major p	rograms:				
• Material weakness(es) ide	entified?		yes	X	no
• Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not		_		
considered to be material	weaknesses?	X	_ yes		none reported
Type of auditor's report issue	d on compliance for ma	jor prog	rams:		Unqualified
Any audit findings disclosed	hat are required to be				
reported in accordance with S	-				
Circular A-133?	(.,)	X	yes		no
Identification of major progra	ams:				
CFDA #	Name of Federal Pro	gram or	Cluster		
10.552/10.555/10.550	Child Nutrition Clust	tan			
10.553/10.555/10.559 10.557	Women, Infants and		1		
84.010	Title I Grants to Loca			Agencies	
84.048	Vocational Education			150110103	
93.914	HIV Emergency Reli			ts	
93.667	Social Services Bloc				

10.553/10.555/10.559	Child Nutrition Cluster		
10.557	Women, Infants and Children		
84.010	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies		
84.048	Vocational Education Basic Grants		
93.914	HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants		
93.667	Social Services Block Grant		
14.871	Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers		
14.218	Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants		
14.241	Housing Opportunities for Persons with Aids		
14.239	Home Investment Partnership Program		
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$3,000,000			
Auditee qualified as low-risk a	uditee? yesX no		

II. Financial Statement Findings

- We issued reports, dated December 28, 2007, on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance based on an audit of financial statements performed in accordance with *Governmental Auditing Standards*.
- Our report on compliance indicated no reportable instances of noncompliance.
- Our report on internal control over financial reporting included four significant deficiencies, two of which are considered material weaknesses:
 - 1. Tax Division*
 - 2. Financial Management System and General Ledger*
 - 3. Accounts Receivable Management
 - 4. Student Activity Funds

III. Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

07-01 Special Tests and Provisions

Grantor: U.S. Department of Education

CFDA Number: 84.010

Program: Title I Grants to Local Education Agencies (LEAs)

Criteria An LEA receiving Title I funds is required to complete a

comparability form every two years as part of its

consolidated application to the Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE). This form is completed to meet the federal requirement that state and local funds used in participating Title I schools are comparable to funds used in

non-Title I schools.

Condition Enrollment data for each school in Hartford was not

supported. This data was part of the comparability

calculation. Calculations pertaining to the average number of students per full-time equivalent (FTE) within each grade

span were incorrect.

Ouestioned Costs None

Context Enrollment figures for each public school in Hartford did not

agree to documentation provided. Upon further

investigation, it was determined that the documentation used

to prepare the report may have been lost due to staff

turnover. The average number of students per FTE in each grade span is calculated based on the sum of students

grade span is calculated based on the sum of students enrolled and number of FTE for all schools which service that same grade span. Numerous errors were noted regarding the calculations of average enrollment, average FTE and

average students per FTE.

^{*} Considered a material weakness

Effect No effect can be determined.

Cause Information utilized for the preparation of the form was

provided by individuals who are no longer employed by the City of Hartford. The individuals who were involved in the preparation of the form did not retain this information, and it could not be located when requested for Federal Single Audit purposes. A thorough review of the form was not completed;

therefore, calculation errors were not noted.

Recommendation We recommend that Hartford provide further training to staff

involved with the preparation of the comparability form. The report should be reviewed by someone other than the preparer prior to submission. We also recommend that City personnel retain all documentation used to prepare the report.

BlumShapıro

Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program, on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with the State Single Audit Act and on the Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance

Honorable Mayor and Members of the Court of Common Council City of Hartford, Connecticut

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Hartford, Connecticut, with the types of compliance requirements described in the Office of Policy and Management Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major state programs for the year ended June 30, 2007. The major state programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major state programs is the responsibility of the City of Hartford, Connecticut's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the State Single Audit Act (C.G.S. Sections 4-230 to 4-236). Those standards and the State Single Audit Act require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major state program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City of Hartford, Connecticut's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the City of Hartford, Connecticut's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City of Hartford, Connecticut, complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major state programs for the year ended June 30, 2007.

Internal Control over Compliance

The management of the City of Hartford, Connecticut, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to state programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City of Hartford, Connecticut's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major state program in order to

determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Hartford, Connecticut's internal control over compliance.

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a state program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the City's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Hartford, Connecticut, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007 and have issued our report thereon dated December 28, 2007. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Hartford, Connecticut's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of state financial assistance is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the State Single Audit Act and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended for the information and use of management, the Mayor and Members of the Court of Common Council, the Office of Policy and Management, the Board of Education and state awarding agencies and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

December 28, 2007

Blum, Shapino + Company, P.C.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

State Grantor/Program Title	State Grant Program Core-CT Number	Expenditures
Department of Education		
School Breakfast Program	11000-SDE64370-17046	\$ 188,696
Child Nutrition Program-State Match	11000-SDE64370-17040 11000-SDE64370-16072	165,634
Family Resource Center	11000-SDE64370-16072 11000-SDE64370-16110	511,250
State Adult Ed-Provider	11000-SDE64370-10110 11000-SDE64370-17030	3,400,389
Adult Education-COOP	11000-SDE64370-17030 11000-SDE64370-17030	75,835
Adult Education-COOP Adult Education-COOP	11000-SDE64370-17030 11000-SDE64370-17030	54,359
Adult Education-COOT Adult Education-LVA	11000-SDE64370-17030	101,161
Young Adult Learners	11000-SDE64000-17091	70,000
Health Services	11000-SDE64000-17031 11000-SDE64000-17034	53,894
Bilingual Education	11000-SDE64370-17034 11000-SDE64370-17042	402,856
Interdistrict Cooperative	11000-SDE64370-17042 11000-SDE64370-17045	1,102,379
Priority Schools	11000-SDE64370-17043 11000-SDE64370-17043	6,625,101
•	11000-SDE64370-17043 11000-SDE64370-17043	3,062,025
Early Reading Success		
School Accountability-Summer	11000-SDE64370-17043	463,648
Extended School Hours Program Grant	11000-SDE64370-17043 11000-SDE64370-17044	402,237
Young Parents Program		16,381
Youth Service Bureau	11000-SDE64370-17052	463,432
Open Choice	11000-SDE64370-17053	2,000
State Funds for Technology Infrastructure	12052-SDE64370-40312	57,978
Primary Mental Health	11000-SDE64370-12198	10,058
Magnet Schools	11000-SDE64370-17057	17,141,485
Summer Lunch Program	11000 GDE (1070 17010	216,904
School Readiness Child Care Program	11000-SDE64370-17043	9,170,812
Total Department of Education		43,758,514
Board of Education and Services for the Blind		
Vision Impaired Children	11000-ESB65020-12060	390,270
Department of Environmental Protection		
Municipal Outdoor Recreation Fund:	12052 DED44420 40206	0.000
City's Tower Brook Conduit	12052-DEP44420-40296	8,999
Department of Economic and Community		
Development Pilot Mod. Rental (PHA) Housing	11000-ECD46400-17012	259,389
Tax Abatement Program Regional Fornamia Dayslopment Project	11000-ECD46400-17008	493,930
Regional Economic Development Project -	12010 ECD46200 41240	205 252
Veeder Place	13019-ECD46200-41240	205,253
Urban Act Program	13019-ECD46350-41240	178,177
Grant-in-aid for Capital City projects (CCEDA) Community Conservation and Development	12059-ECD46050-40577	13,200
(CCEDA)	12059-ECD46050-41239	1,221,644

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE (CONTINUED)

State Grantor/Program Title	State Grant Program Core-CT Number	-	Expenditures
Property Management CCEDA Phase II	13019-ECD46350-41240	\$	109,517
Admin. Fee - DECD Legal CCEDA Phase II	10017 202 10000 11210	Ψ	600,000
Economic Assistance Bond Fund	12034-ECD46200-40221	-	105,000
Total Department of Economic and			
Community Development		-	3,186,110
Department of Public Health			
Immunization Outreach	11000-DPH48500-10020		35,741
Cancer Planning and Prevention	11000-DPH48500-10020		4,532
Venereal Disease Control	11000-DPH48500-17013		45,606
AIDS - Prevention Education Services	11000-DPH48500-12236		69,752
Lead Poisoning Prevention Program	11000-DPH48500-12227		48,868
Local and District Department of Health - Per			
Capita	11000-DPH48500-17009		119,330
School Based Health Clinics	11000-DPH48500-17019		482,765
Tuberculosis Control and Prevention	11000-DPH48500-16112	-	49,127
Total Department of Public Health		-	855,721
Department of Social Services			
Emergency Shelter Service	11000-DSS60733-16149 & 17038		530,577
Healthy Start	11000-DSS60521-16105		525,770
Neighborhood Facilities	13019-DSS6000-41242		60,000
Housing and Homeless Services	11000-DSS60000-17038		447,180
Medicaid	11000-DSS60000-16020		1,502,656
Child Day Care Loc Governments	11000-DSS60794-17022		943,857
Day Care Projects	11000-DSS60794-12224	=	7,215
Total Department of Social Services		-	4,017,255
Office of Policy and Management			
Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOT) on Exempt			
Property of Manufacturing Facilities in			
Distressed Municipalities	11000-OPM20600-17016		84,867
Property Tax Relief for Manufacturing			
Machinery and Equipment	11000-OPM20600-17031		1,114,902
Property Tax Relief for Elderly Homeowners	11000-OPM20600-17018		307,832
Property Tax Relief for Veterans	11000-OPM20600-17024		64,258
Property Tax Relief for Elderly Homeowners	11000 OD (2000 17021		0.004
Freeze Program Proporty Toy Police Dischility Evenution	11000-OPM20600-17021		9,881
Property Tax Relief Disability Exemption	11000-OPM20600-17011		4,854
Property Tax Relief	11000-OPM20600-17086		4,923,291

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE (CONTINUED)

State Grantor/Program Title	State Grant Program Core-CT Number		Expenditures
Local Capital Improvement Program: (LOCIP) STP Federal Road Match Restoration Broad and Vine Streets Truck Wash Facility Sand/Salt Storage Building Jennings Road, Roof Replacement Street Resurfacing HVAC Improvement Swimming Pool Renovation Fire Department - Vehicle Storage Fire Department - Burn Building Conservation and Development Decorative Lights Total Office of Policy and Management	12050-OPM20600-40254	\$	13,957 32,067 500 23,250 32,441 348,000 1,769,385 94,030 1,380 192,950 60,473 22,600
Department of Revenue Services/Division of			
Special Revenue Payments to Municipalities	34004-DRS18307-40001	_	332,786
Connecticut State Library Connecticard Payments State of CT Library Grant Preservation of Historical Documents	11000-CSL66051-17010 12060-CSL66094-35150		22,331 10,579 75,809
Total Connecticut State Library			108,719
Department of Transportation Town Aid Road Grant Transportation Fund DUI Holiday Total Department of Transportation	12001-DOT57141-12283	_	615,157 33,805 648,962
Department of Public Safety State Police: State Asset Forfeiture Revolving Fund 911 Enhancement Fire Orientation and Firefighter Safety COPS In School Award Grant Hartford Gun Project-Overtime Reimbursement Total Department of Public Safety	12060-DPS32539-35142 12060-DPS32740-35190	_	173,786 111,604 103,702 12,287 54,391 455,770
Total Department of Fublic Safety			433,770

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE (CONTINUED)

State Grantor/Program Title	State Grant Program Core-CT Number		Expenditures	
Office of the State Comptroller				
Boat Grant	12027-OSC15910-40211	\$	12,768	
Mashantucket Pequot Grant	12009-OSC15910-17005		9,900,322	
Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOT) on State-				
Owned Property	11000-OSC15910-17004		9,408,572	
Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOT) on Private				
Colleges and General Hospitals	11000-OSC15910-17006	-	21,160,011	
Total Office of the State Comptroller			40,481,673	
Judicial Department				
Hartford Building Bridges Project	11000-JUD96114-12043		548,538	
Children's Trust Fund Children's Trust Fund	11000 CTF04000 12042		97.210	
	11000-CTF94000-12042 11000-CTF94000-12042		87,310 111,584	
Nurturing Families Network Grant	11000-C1F94000-12042	•	111,364	
Total Children's Trust Fund			198,894	
Department of Children and Families				
Prevention and Treatment of Child Abuse	11000-DCF91110-16064		25,912	
Total State Financial Assistance Before				
Exempt Programs			104,119,041	
Exempt Programs				
Department of Education				
Education Cost Sharing	11000-SDE64370-17041		169,077,604	
School Building Grant - Progress Payment	13010-SDE64370-40901		74,798,273	
School Building Grant Principal Subsidy	13010-SDE64370-40901		3,600,194	
Transportation of School Children	11000-SDE64370-17027		3,124,926	
School Building Grant Interest Subsidy	13009-SDE64370-40896		1,050,211	
Total Exempt Programs			251,651,208	
Total State Financial Assistance		\$	355,770,249	

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

Various departments and agencies of the State of Connecticut have provided financial assistance to the City of Hartford, Connecticut, through grants and other authorizations in accordance with the General Statutes of the State of Connecticut. The financial assistance programs fund several programs including education, public works, social services, property tax relief, environmental protection and public safety.

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

The accounting policies of the City of Hartford, Connecticut, conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applicable to government entities. The following is a summary of the more significant policies relating to the aforementioned grant programs.

Basis of Accounting - The financial statements contained in the City of Hartford, Connecticut's annual audit report are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The following is a summary of such basis:

Revenues are recognized when susceptible to accrual (i.e., when they become both measurable and available). Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period.

Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred, if measurable.

The schedule of expenditures of state financial assistance, contained in this report, is prepared based on regulations established by the State of Connecticut Office of Policy and Management. In accordance with these regulations (Sections 4-236-22), certain grants are not dependent on expenditure activity and, accordingly, are considered to be expended in the fiscal year of receipt. These grant program receipts are reflected in the expenditures column of the schedule of expenditures of state financial assistance.

Note 2 - Status of Prior Year Findings:

06-1 The prior year finding was related to the presence of ineligible property listed on the October 1, 2004 M65 form that was submitted to the State of Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM). A more thorough review of the October 1, 2005 M-65a form was completed by the client this year. No instances of ineligible property on the form were noted this year.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

Summary of Auditors' Results I.

Financial Statements Type of auditor's report issued: Unqualified Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? X Yes no Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? X Yes none reported Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Yes X no **State Financial Assistance** Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? X no yes Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? X none reported yes Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Unqualified Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 4-236-24 of the Regulations to the State Single Audit Act? yes X no The following schedule reflects the major programs included in the audit:

State Grantor and Program	State Core-CT Number	Expenditures
Department of Education:		
Adult Education	11000-SDE64370-17030	\$ 3,631,744
Interdistrict Cooperative	11000-SDE64370-17045	1,102,379
Priority Schools	11000-SDE64370-17043	6,625,101
Early Reading Success	11000-SDE64370-17043	3,062,025
Magnet Schools	11000-SDE64370-17057	17,141,485
School Readiness Child Care Program	11000-SDE64370-17043	9,170,812
Department of Social Services:		
Medicaid		1,502,656
Office of Policy and Management:		
Property Tax Relief for Manufacturing		
Machinery and Equipment	11000-OPM20600-17031	1,114,902
Property Tax Relief	11000-OPM20600-17086	4,923,291
Local Capital Improvement Program	12050-OPM20600-40254	2,591,033

Department of Economic and Community		
Development:		
Grant-in-aid for Capital Projects (CCEDA)	12059-ECD46050-40577	13,200
Community Conservation and		
Development (CCEDA)	12059-ECD46050-41239	1,221,644
Property Management CCEDA Phase II	13019-ECD46350-41240	109,517
Admin. Fee - DECD Legal CCEDA Phase II		600,000
Office of the State Comptroller:		
Mashantucket Pequot Grant	12009-OSC15910-17005	9,900,322
Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOT) on		
State-Owned Property	11000-OSC15910-17004	9,408,572
Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOT) on		
Private Colleges and General Hospitals	11000-OSC15910-17006	21,160,011

II. Financial Statement Findings

- We issued reports, dated December 28, 2007, on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance based on an audit of financial statements performed in accordance with *Governmental Auditing Standards*.
- Our report on compliance indicated no reportable instances of noncompliance.
- Our report on internal control over financial reporting included four significant deficiencies, two of which are considered material weaknesses:
 - 1. Tax Division*
 - 2. Financial Management System and General Ledger*
 - 3. Accounts Receivable Management
 - 4. Student Activity Funds

III. State Financial Assistance Findings and Questioned Costs

No findings or questioned costs are reported relating to state financial assistance programs.

^{*} Considered a material weakness

BlumShapıro

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the Court of Common Council City of Hartford, Connecticut

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Hartford, Connecticut, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, which collectively comprise the City of Hartford, Connecticut's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 28, 2007. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City of Hartford, Connecticut's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Hartford, Connecticut's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Hartford, Connecticut's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the entity's internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process or report financial data reliably in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the following deficiencies related to the Tax Division, Financial Management System and General Ledger, Accounts Receivable Management and Student Activity Funds to be significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting.

TAX DIVISION

<u>Condition</u>: In the spring of 2006, the Tax Division implemented new software for the tax collection system. The City's Tax Division continued to be adversely affected by the system conversion during the past year. Operational policies and procedures using the new software have been developed and are in various stages of implementation. Areas that should be covered by operational policies and procedures include: the analysis and reconciliation of tax collections, adjustments, refunds, gross receivables, credit balances and other components, and using system reports. A monthly Tax Collector's Report is not generated to document and reconcile the change in receivable balances. Additionally, a monthly reconciliation process has not been developed to reconcile tax and general ledger control balances to provide the opportunity to research and resolve noted differences. A customized system-generated Tax Collector's Report was installed in July 2007. Programming modifications are still needed to enable the Tax Division to effectively capture all reporting components to summarize the change in receivable balances for the current reporting period. We also noted that the Tax Division was unable to timely post a significant tax lien sale transaction that occurred just prior to June 30, 2007.

The June 30, 2007 Tax Collector's Report was not completed in a timely manner, and several modifications were required to produce an accurate reporting of the change in the receivable balance during the fiscal year. The Tax Collector's Report ending receivable balance excludes credit balances in excess of \$2 million. The Tax Division does not have an understanding of how credit balance activity is accounted for within the tax system.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the Finance Department, in conjunction with Tax Division personnel, continue the assessment of the new tax system to document the essential processing and reporting tools that have not been provided or implemented. A coordinated effort should be established with the software provider to address these issues, particularly the completion of a system generated tax collector's report.

Internal policies and procedures should be implemented for all key areas as noted above. Appropriate resources should be in place to cover all elements of the processing activities and controls, particularly given the impact of the system conversion. The implementation of key business processes is necessary to provide adequate internal control within the Tax Division.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AND GENERAL LEDGER

<u>Condition</u>: The current structure and use of the financial management system does not provide for maximum utilization of controls within the system. Additionally, the accounting and reporting structure does not adequately support analysis and reporting of financial activity at the project/program level. Control deficiencies arise from the use of alternative processes and procedures outside the general ledger. The recording of these activities is not always performed timely. The following is a sample of noted deficiencies within the City's general ledger control environment:

• Financial statement receivables and deferred revenues, for various major funds, are developed for reporting in the comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) only after completing an extensive compilation of project and program activity at year end using spreadsheets maintained outside the general ledger. These spreadsheets continue to report receivable and deferred revenue amounts at the project/program level that are not clearing and likely incorrect. The analysis of the project/program balances, in some instances, is only performed when the project is identified for close-out based on a period of years of

inactivity. The current financial management system has not been structured to support full project accounting or related project analysis.

- Situations exist, primarily in funds used to account for loan activities, where revenues and expenditures are posted to asset, liability and equity accounts instead of subsidiary controlled revenue and expenditure accounts. The recording of transactions in this manner prevents using the financial management system for the direct reporting of revenues and expenditures for the fiscal year, as well as enhancing internal analysis of those activities.
- Investment and interfund transfer activities of the City's pension and other trust funds were not timely and accurately recorded in the City's general ledger. Procedures that provide for the monthly identification and posting of account transfers and investment activity to the general ledger have not been implemented. Account balances are not reconciled monthly to allow for timely research and resolution of noted differences.
- The General Fund cash account structure does not mirror the accounts maintained by the Treasurer's Office. Multiple book balances from the Treasurer's records must be consolidated in order to reconcile with the general ledger. In addition to Treasury bank reconciliations, the Finance Department tracks additional reconciling items to reconcile the bank and book balances for the applicable accounts.
- The current process for closing projects and programs (special revenue and capital project funds) upon completion results in the reversal of life-to-date revenues and expenditures in the year of closing. As a result, the agreement of current year reported activity requires an off-system computation to agree to the general ledger reported activity.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Procedures should be developed and implemented which provide for the monthly posting and reconciliation of all pension and other trust fund account activity on the City's ledger. General ledger activity for investment income and related gains and losses should be supported by monthly institutional statements.

The City's planned implementation of the MUNIS general ledger module, in July 2008, as part of the City's overall replacement of its current financial management system, provides an opportunity to implement significant improvements to processes that, in many instances, have been in place for decades. The development and implementation of changes to existing business processes should be designed to maximize use of built-in controls and module integration within the system.

Strategic general ledger changes, including the development of a new chart of accounts, should serve as the foundation of the new financial management system. Developing a project accounting structure will allow for full utilization of the financial management system for project/program management and financial statement analysis and preparation.

If properly planned and implemented, the new financial management system will enable the City to function within the framework of 21st century technology and business practices. Understanding the need to control the migration of data to the new systems, and to the structure of the new reporting and control environment, is essential for a successful conversion. Maximizing system capabilities, controlling data, effective training and ensuring that system-generated reports provide users with meaningful, timely and reliable reports will ensure the success of the implementation.

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE MANAGEMENT

<u>Condition</u>: Various City departments provide goods or services that require bills to be issued and subsequently involve the recording and collection of receivables (e.g., special police services and health services). Accounts receivable policies and procedures developed and formalized during 2006 have not been fully implemented. Procedures should be in place to effectively perform the revenue billing, recording, collection, posting and reconciliation function.

The City does not currently maintain general ledger control over accounts receivable related to miscellaneous revenue billings. Receivables are recorded at year-end based upon information received from the department that is providing the goods or services.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Preparations for full implementation of a MUNIS General Billings/ Miscellaneous Receipts module are ongoing. We recommend transitioning all miscellaneous billings onto the new module to allow the City to apply uniform controls over the accounting and reporting of the miscellaneous billing/collection process.

Procedures should be developed and implemented to provide guidance in the effective utilization of the new module. Areas that should be covered by the policies and procedures include: billings, collections and posting of collections, recording and approval of adjustments, and the reconciliation of change in the accounts receivable balances. Centralization of the billing and collection functions should be evaluated to improve the overall control environment. General ledger control and reconciliation with subsidiary receivable balances and collections should be established as part of the module implementation process.

Procedures should also be implemented for the analysis of system reports that can be used for the timely follow-up and collection of delinquent accounts in accordance with uniform procedures issued on July 1, 2006.

STUDENT ACTIVITY FUNDS

<u>Condition</u>: Cash transactions and balances related to student activity funds are reported in the City's comprehensive annual financial report as an Agency fund. It is necessary for each school to prepare an annual report of transactions at the end of each year for inclusion in the annual report. We noted several deficiencies related to records, reporting and fund management for the activity funds throughout the school system. There continues to be uncertainty among the schools as to the student activity policies and procedures that are acceptable system-wide. There is a lack of segregation of duties in the accounting function at some locations, especially the elementary schools. Several schools did not timely report their annual information and others schools did not respond at all. Inaccuracies were noted in the reported amounts from several schools. Several schools were unable to provide year-end reconciliations for their student activity accounts.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend the development of an ongoing training program to be provided to individuals responsible for the operation of the student activity programs and the maintenance of the accounting records. Many of the individuals responsible for the record keeping for these programs do not have any accounting or bookkeeping experience. A simple, well documented system and training program should be provided. Significant turnover in the administrative staff, at many schools, has required that these records be maintained by persons with little or no prior experience, which emphasizes the need for an annual training program. We continue to recommend that significant improvements be made in the area of student activity funds segregation of duties, accountability, training and monitoring.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the City's internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, of the significant deficiencies described above, we consider the Tax Division and Financial Management System and General Ledger conditions to be material weaknesses.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Hartford, Connecticut's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the City of Hartford, Connecticut, in a separate letter dated December 28, 2007.

This report is intended for the information and use of management, the Mayor and Members of the Court of Common Council, the Board of Education, federal and state awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

December 28, 2007

Blum, Shapino + Company, P.C.