Scores and Counts

Audit Scores

Counts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pedestrian Facilities</th>
<th>Bicycle Facilities</th>
<th>Pedestrian Facilities</th>
<th>Bicycle Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>Intersection</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>Intersection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle Facilities</td>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Bicycle Facilities</td>
<td>Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection</td>
<td>Land Use/Connectivity</td>
<td>Intersection</td>
<td>Land Use/Connectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use/Connectivity</td>
<td>Aesthetics</td>
<td>Street Characteristics (Walking)</td>
<td>Aesthetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetics</td>
<td>Street Characteristics (Biking)</td>
<td>Aesthetics</td>
<td>Street Characteristics (Biking)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Characteristics (Walking)</td>
<td>Pedestrian Facilities</td>
<td>Street Characteristics (Biking)</td>
<td>Pedestrian Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2014 2015

5 4 4 4 4 3 4 3 3

Pedestrian Facilities
Bicycle Facilities
Intersection
Street Characteristics (Walking)
Street Characteristics (Biking)
Land Use/Connectivity
Aesthetics
Amenities

Observations & Analysis

Scores in most categories increased significantly

Improved audit results may lead to increased bike usage in the future

Land use is still not as conducive to biking and walking as it could be